

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/334,054	06/15/1999	DAVID W. JOHNSON .	11381	4885	
22827	7590 11/22/2006		. EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			MIGGINS, N	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
	E, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>b</i>
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/334,054	JOHNSON, DAVID W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MORE IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	<u> August 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12,13,31,32 and 34-36 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-13, 31-32, 34-36 is/are rejee 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	ewn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	t).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
Notice of Dialisperson's Faterit Drawing Review (F10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/334,054

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

1. There are no rejections withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

2. All of the 103(a) rejections set forth in the non-final rejection of 5/23/06, pages 2-5, paragraphs 3-6 are repeated for the reasons of record.

NEW REJECTIONS

3. There are no new rejections.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/06 have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant has argued that Nash does not disclose that the silica is embedded on the outer surface of the gloves but rather discloses that the silica particles are disposed on the inner surface of the gloves. However, Nash discloses that the silica particles embedded on the outer surface (abstract and column 2, lines 30-44). Furthermore the title of CS '117 discloses colloidal silica particles on the outer surface of the gloves so even if it were argued that Nash does not disclose that the silica is embedded on the outer surface of the gloves but rather discloses that the silica particles are disposed on

Application/Control Number: 09/334,054

Art Unit: 1772

the inner surface of the gloves, CS '117 clearly discloses silica particles on the outer surface of the gloves.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation is provided by Nash in that embedded silica coatings provide improved strength (column 2, lines 13-53).

In response to applicant's argument that the purpose of applicant's invention is to provide an improved gripping strength while Nash provides a lower coefficient of friction, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Applicant has argued that CS '117 fails to exclude any separate binder material.

However, the title of CS '117 excludes binder material since both the inner and outer surfaces are coated and there is no disclosure of a binder material.

Applicant has argued that the references fail to disclose that the silica particles are conductive. However, applicant does not delineate the conductivity in the claims. The broadest reasonable interpretation without any guidance from the claims is that silica itself is conductive because just about any material will conduct electricity if enough voltage is applied.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

MCM November 11, 2006